

Pugh
IATJ 7<sup>th</sup> Assembly
September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2016
Madrid, Spain

# Case Load Control

International Association of Tax Judges

#### **Bundesfinanzhof: Court of Appeal**

- Last instance in tax and customs matters
- 11 specialised senates, 57 full-time judges
- 2015: 4.578 pending cases, 2.721 decisions
- Tendency of decreasing number of entries (3.430 in 2009 vs. 2.632 in 2015)

#### Adequate objection procedure

- Free administrative procedure: "Einspruchsverfahren"
- Against all tax assessment and other administrative decisions
- Complete legal review of the case by different department at Inland Revenue
- Decision for or against taxpayer (,,reformatio in peius")
- Free application for suspensive effect

#### **Court fees**

- Overall medium burden
- Fees according to the amount in dispute; minimum amount in dispute for costs: 1.500 €; maximum amount: 30 Mio. €

| Fee for appeal in € | Amount in dispute in € |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| 355                 | 1.500                  |
| 2.730               | 50.000                 |
| 17.680              | 500.000                |

- No free withdrawal of the claim/appeal any more
- Costs of proceedings borne by unsuccessful party
- Legal aid

#### Legal representation

- Mandatory: Lawyer, (chartered) accountant
- Both for submitting a claim and in hearings
- Fee accoording to value of lawsuit
- Basic fees for lawyers:

| Fee in €     | Value of lawsuit in € |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| Appr. 500    | 1.500                 |
| Appr. 5.000  | 50.000                |
| Appr. 15.000 | 500.000               |

- Higher fee agreements most likely
- Right to legal counsel

# Simplified procedures for petty/inadmissible/manifestly (un)founded cases

- In case of non-representation appeal can be rejected as inadmissible
- Written decision: Only tenor and short summary of arguments
- Important means for "troublemakers"/"Reichsbürger"
- In case of representation normal procedure even for manifestly (un) founded cases
- Possibility of letter to the parties to end proceedings before oral hearing/reduce court fees

#### Minimum financial interest thresholds

- Currently none (except for minimum amount in dispute for costs)
- Abolition of appeal depending on minimum amount in dispute (1.000 Deutsche Mark) in 1985

#### Funnel mechanism

- Bundesfinanzhof is bound by facts determined by the court of first instance
- In appeal the parties cannot be heard with new facts

| Facts   | Legal assessment                               |
|---|--|
| Interpretation of declaration of intent/contracts |  |
| Interpretation of administrative acts             | Infringment of principle of fairness and logic |
| Consideration of evidence                         |  |
| Estimated tax base                                |  |

#### Leave to appeal

- Direct appeal only on permission by court of first instance
- Possibility of non-admission complaint at Bundesfinanzhof
- ✓ High formal and contextual requirements
- ✓ Fundamental significance of legal question
- ✓ Uniform administration of justice
- ✓ Judgment based on substantial procedural violation in first instance
- ✓ 75 % are dismissed (50 % of 75 % are inadmissible)